

**THE NEW LAUNCHPAD:
THE ALL-IN-ONE, PERSONALIZED WAY
TO USE YOUR BLOOMBERG.**

Bloomberg
SEE VIDEO



FTFM
INVESTMENT STRATEGY
FT Home > FTfm > Investment strategy

Case for active management is actually strong

By Christophe Boucher and Bertrand Maillet
Published: May 2 2010 10:18 | Last updated: May 2 2010 10:18

The poor performance of active managers for the past few years has convinced many investors that **active management** is less worthy.

But this poor performance has its roots in extreme market conditions. Indeed, in times of systemic crises, risk assets tend to recorelate, reducing diversification and potential alpha opportunities. However, diversification still works in the long run, despite rising correlations during extreme financial events.

EDITOR'S CHOICE

- [Hard to follow gains from separation](#) - Oct-17
- [Active managers play sideways movements](#) - Oct-10
- [Dissecting 'absolute return' methods](#) - Oct-10
- [Skill or luck – successful funds get close analysis](#) - Oct-10
- [No rush to separate alpha and beta](#) - Oct-03
- [A hybrid alpha-like beta is best for all](#) - Oct-03

The question of whether investment managers generate superior risk-adjusted returns ("alpha") is widely discussed among financial economists. This debate has become highly technical in recent years, largely linked to statistical measurement problems. For example, a multi-factor model is usually used to estimate the risk-adjusted return of managers. However, some recent studies show these estimates are biased, since these factor models produce significant negative alphas even for passive benchmark indices such as the Russell 2000. Moreover, alpha estimates implicitly suppose that managers have constant factor exposures, while about one-third of managers change the dominant investment style of their funds.

Additionally, research indicates that the further a manager deviates from a given benchmark, the greater the potential for relative gains.

A study conducted by two finance professors at Yale School of Management found what they describe as active share – the proportion of an active fund that differs from the portfolio's benchmark index – to be a key determinant of long-term above-market returns.

The most difficult task is nevertheless to distinguish skill from pure luck. A common approach to this problem is to test for persistence in fund returns, that is, whether past winners continue to produce high returns. Unfortunately, academic studies at this stage do not allow us to draw a definitive conclusion.

In one of the most recent studies published in the Journal of Finance, Laurent Barras, Olivier Scaillet, and Russ Wermers use a new statistical approach to evaluate the talent of active managers. They show that the majority of actively managed domestic equity mutual funds have generated at least a zero alpha, after adjusting for luck, trading costs and fees. They also indicate there is a small group of funds that exhibit true positive high outperformance, even if their proportion has been shrinking over time. Their second most encouraging conclusion is that if investors can avoid what is shown to be a relatively small fraction of truly unskilled funds, they can expect to do at least as well with actively managed funds as with passively managed/index funds.

Passive management risks are probably different in nature, but the risk is still there. Almost all major indexes are based on market-capitalisation weightings. A passive index investor is thus forced to allocate more of her portfolio to overvalued stocks and less to undervalued stocks. A number of studies point out that this mechanism of capitalisation weighting creates a kind of trend following a strategy profile whose risk/return trade-offs are inefficient. Who is going to lead the market and where then?

More important, pure passive portfolios are managed without placing valuation judgments on the macroeconomic and/or market conditions. In pure passive portfolio approach, risk budgets and risk positions are not managed. Moreover, the composition of indices is somewhat arbitrary since it depends on the proposition of an index provider with sufficient market power. Finally, passive portfolios are subject to a risk of liquidity. Delisting companies and bankruptcies could have a strong negative impact on the index performances.

Leaving our money in the (invisible) hands of benchmark constructors is not a safer choice than to delegate its management to one or several carefully selected, talented portfolio managers with a clear process.

In such a process, quantitative and qualitative analyses should be complementary.

THE FUTURE OF ENERGY

While a surge in energy demand is shaking the world, there is another wave gathering strength: climate change. This special report explores the challenges and opportunities facing governments and businesses.

[More](#)

LATEST HEADLINES FROM CNN

- [Europe struggles to dig airports out of snow and ice](#)
- [Iran says it executed 11 with ties to terrorist group](#)
- [Somali Islamic party joins more hard-line group](#)
- [Kidnapped Mexican politician freed, agency reports](#)
- [American's slaying in Israel may have been hate crime](#)

[More](#)

RELATED SERVICES

- [FT Lexicon](#)
- [FT Bespoke Forums](#)
- [Market research](#)
- [Growth companies](#)
- [Corporate subscriptions](#)
- [Luxury Travel brochures](#)
- [Analyst Research](#)
- [MBA-Direct.com](#)
- [FT Newspaper subscriptions](#)
- [FT Diaries](#)
- [FT Conferences](#)
- [FT Syndication services](#)
- [The Non-Executive Director](#)

Indeed, [recent research by the Cass Business School's Pensions Institute](#) (see *FTfm March 29*) concludes investors should pay close attention to fund flows (and to resulting fund size), as well as to career paths of fund managers. Past performance is only an indicator of future performance if the manager is not replaced, of course, and if second fund flows do not eliminate the persistence.

Christophe Boucher is a senior quantitative analyst at AA Advisors, a division of ABN Amro, and Bertrand Maïllet is head of research

Copyright The Financial Times Limited 2010. You may share using our article tools. Please don't cut articles from FT.com and redistribute by email or post to the web.

[Print article](#) [Email article](#) [Clip this article](#) [Order reprints](#)

[Twitter](#) [Digg](#) [LinkedIn](#) [Yahoo! Buzz](#) [Delicious](#)
[reddit](#) [BX](#) [Facebook](#) [stumbleupon](#) [Viadeo](#)

MORE IN THIS SECTION

[Asian ETF market is expanding rapidly](#)

[Chinese managers draw on art](#)

[Hong Kong adapts to derivative rules](#)

[Warning on biodiversity risk](#)

[TA Associates: bucking the trend and raising money](#)

[Benefits of developing investment beliefs](#)

[Asset managers aim to navigate funds through big rocks ahead](#)

[Poor governance now seen linked to inferior returns](#)

[Some begin to question 'emerging' wisdom](#)

[Asset managers aim to navigate funds through big rocks ahead](#)

[Poor governance now seen linked to inferior returns](#)

[FT Home](#)

[Site map](#) [Contact us](#) [About us](#) [Help](#)

[Advertise with the FT](#) [Media centre](#) [FT Newspaper subscriptions](#) [FT Conferences](#) [FT Syndication](#) [Corporate subscriptions](#) [FT Group](#) [Careers at the FT](#)

Partner sites: [Chinese FT.com](#) [The Mergermarket Group](#) [Investors Chronicle](#) [Exec-Appointments.com](#) [Money Media](#) [The Banker](#) [fDi Intelligence](#) [MBA-Direct.com](#) [The Non-Executive Director](#)

© Copyright The Financial Times Ltd 2010. "FT" and "Financial Times" are trademarks of The Financial Times Ltd. [Privacy policy](#) [Terms](#)